

Science communication is needed to inform risk perception and action of stakeholders

Fabrice Requier, Alice Fournier, Quentin Rome, Éric Darrouzet

▶ To cite this version:

Fabrice Requier, Alice Fournier, Quentin Rome, Éric Darrouzet. Science communication is needed to inform risk perception and action of stakeholders. Journal of Environmental Management, 2020, 257, pp.109983. 10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109983 hal-02794332

HAL Id: hal-02794332 https://univ-tours.hal.science/hal-02794332v1

Submitted on 21 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Science communication is needed to inform risk perception and action of stakeholders

Fabrice Requier^{1,*}, Alice Fournier², Quentin Rome³, Eric Darrouzet⁴

¹ Evolution Génome Comportement et Ecologie, CNRS, IRD, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, 91190 Paris, France
² Biochimie et Toxicologie des Substances Bioactives (BTSB), EA7417 Université de Toulouse, INU Champollion, 81000 Albi, France
³ UMS 2006 Patrimoine Naturel - AFB, CNRS, MNHN - Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CP50, 57 Rue Cuvier, 75235, Paris Cedex 05, France
⁴ Institut de Recherche sur la Biologie de l'Insecte, UMR 7261, CNRS – Université de Tours, Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France

^{*} Corresponding author, Fabrice Requier: fabric.requier@egce.cnrs-gif.fr; Evolution Génome Comportement et Ecologie, CNRS, IRD, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, 91190 Paris, France. Phone: +33 (0)1 69 82 37 04

ORCID

Fabrice Requier http://orcid.org/0000-0003- 1638-3141 Fournier Alice_https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6479-2500 Quentin Rome http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7055-8064 Eric Darrouzet_https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7224-6937

Declarations of interest: none

Acknowledgements

Special thanks go to all persons and organizations that provided records of hornet nests in France, and to all the volunteer beekeepers that participated in the survey. We also thank J. Thomas and J. Gévar for their help in data collection of the stakeholder-based survey, and the two anonymous reviewers for constructive comments on the manuscript. We acknowledge financial support from the Centre-Val de Loire region (France) for the FRELON project.

Science communication is needed to inform risk perception and action of stakeholders

3

4 Abstract

5 Stakeholders are critical environmental managers in human-dominated landscapes. 6 In some contexts, stakeholders can be forced to personally act following their own 7 observations and risk perception instead of science recommendation. In particular, biological invasions need rapid control actions to reduce potential socio-ecological 8 9 impacts, while science-based risk assessments are rather complex and time-10 delayed. Although they can lead to important detrimental effects on biodiversity, 11 potential time-delayed disconnections between stakeholders' action and science 12 recommendations are rarely studied. Using the case study of western European 13 beekeepers controlling the invasive Asian hornet Vespa velutina nigrithorax for its 14 suspected impact on honey bee colonies, we analysed mechanisms underlying personal actions of stakeholders and how they evolved in science disconnection. 15 16 Personal actions of stakeholders were causal-effect linked with their risk observation 17 but disconnected to time-delayed science predictions and recommendations. 18 Unfortunately, these science-disconnected actions also led to dramatic impacts on 19 numerous species of the local entomofauna. These results highlight the need to 20 improve mutual risk communication between science and action in the early-stages 21 of management plans to improve the sustainably of stakeholders' practices. 22

Keywords: Biological invasion; Citizen science; Honey bee mortality; Invasive
 species; Yellow-legged hornet

25

26 **1. Introduction**

27 The management of human-dominated landscapes involves the critical role of environmental managers, which represent a strong action and observation force 28 29 (Shackleton et al., 2019a). Stakeholders can be defined as environmental managers 30 who are affected by the decisions and actions they take, and who have the power to 31 change their actions (Reed et al., 2009). Ideally, management plans should be 32 established by environmental policies, following scientific risk assessment 33 recommendations, and prior to stakeholders' opinion-based actions (Genovesi and Shine, 2004). However, the current rate of global changes can lead to time lags 34 35 between the provided scientific recommendations and the emergency to act in the field. One common example implies biological invasions (Courchamp et al., 2017). 36 37 Biological invasions have negative effects worldwide such as biodiversity loss and 38 species extinctions and can threaten economy and public health (Bellard et al., 2017; 39 Courchamp et al., 2017; Cole et al., 2019). Invasive alien species management 40 implies three types of action: preventing the invasion from occurring (e.g. public 41 awareness and border control of global market), reducing the impact magnitude (e.g. by controlling the expansion range through individual trapping or population 42 43 eradication programs), or repairing the damages (e.g. restoration programs) 44 (Bradshaw et al., 2016). The choice of the management plan depends on the 45 invasion stage and the results from risk assessment studies (Campbell et al., 2015). Nevertheless, assessing the potential risk of a newly introduced alien species is 46 47 extremely complex and time consuming; it depends on a combination of coevolutionary processes, population dynamics, complex interspecific relationships, 48 49 abiotic changes, and anthropogenic impacts (Liu et al., 2007; Heger et al., 2013; 50 Shackleton et al., 2019b). Consequently, some studies have showed that risk

assessment estimations can be time-shifted in regard to the rapid need –real or perceived– of stakeholders to take actions and control alien species (e.g. Matzek et al., 2015). Although stakeholders' risk perception and actions should be related to previously emitted science recommendations (Genovesi and Shine, 2004), the time gap without established scientific risk assessment can force stakeholders to personally make decision and act following their own observations and risk perception.

58 Risk perception consists in the importance that individuals give to an at-risk situation (Lamarque et al., 2011; Dewitt et al., 2015; Shackleton et al., 2019b). It is 59 60 known that risk perception is determined by different social and environmental factors affecting individuals, such as the degree of knowledge they have and/or the 61 62 environment in which they evolve (Martín-López et al., 2012). In the case of humans, 63 someone's perception of an environmental risk will vary according to their relation to nature (i.e. hobby and/or professional activity dependent on nature) and the amount 64 65 of knowledge obtained through communication networks (Martín-López et al., 2012; 66 Shackleton et al., 2019b). Accordingly, risk perception of biological invasions can be radically different between citizens or even cause conflicts among them (Estévez et 67 68 al., 2015; Tassin and Kull, 2015). This is the case, for example, of many tree species 69 introduced massively around the world for forest production or aesthetic reasons. 70 These introductions, which have sometimes led to invasions, crystalize sharp 71 conflicts of interest between naturalists -aware of the environmental impacts of these 72 exotic tree species- and forest managers (Dickie et al., 2014). Although the drivers of 73 stakeholders' risk perception have been studied, the ways in which they decide to 74 personally act in a science-disconnected context is still an open question.

75 In this study, we analysed the mechanisms underlying personal actions of 76 stakeholders and how they evolved in a science-disconnected context. We used the 77 case study of western European beekeepers controlling the invasive Asian hornet 78 Vespa velutina nigrithorax (also called the Yellow-legged hornet) for its suspected 79 impacts to their professional activity. First observed in 2004 in Southwest France, this 80 species has rapidly spread across most of the French territory (Villemant et al., 2011; Robinet et al., 2017), and it has then established successively in several 81 82 neighbouring countries, e.g. Belgium, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain and the United Kingdom (Robinet et al., 2018; Rome and Villemant, 2019). 83 84 The Asian hornet captures foraging western honey bees (Apis mellifera) at the beehive entrances during the critical pre-wintering season for honey bee colonies, 85 86 and therefore may represent an additional risk factor involved in the winter mortality 87 of currently declining bee colonies (Leza et al., 2019; Requier et al., 2019a). Western 88 honey bees are currently declining (Potts et al., 2010; Goulson et al., 2015; Requier 89 et al., 2018), a phenomenon manifested by high bee colony mortality rates during 90 winter (Neumann and Carreck, 2010), and likely due to a combination of multiple stresses including parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers (Potts et al., 2010; 91 92 Goulson et al., 2015; Henry et al., 2017).

The Asian hornet, an additional risk factor for honey bees, has alarmed western European beekeepers and has motivated the rapid development of control methods over the past years (Turchi and Derijard, 2018). The use of passive traps with homemade syrup or poisoned (with insecticide) baits was the most common method used for the control of the Asian hornet (Rome et al., 2011; Rojas-Nossa et al., 2018). However, the risk from Asian hornet predation on honey bees has only recently been assessed (Requier et al., 2019a). This delayed estimation has 100 postponed the spread of the science recommendations to control this risk (Requier et 101 al., 2019a; but see also some general recommendations of management delivered 102 before: French ministry of Agriculture, 2013). Therefore, western European 103 beekeepers have mainly followed their own observations and perception of Asian 104 hornet-related risk to assess the necessity to put into place management actions for 105 the last 15 years. This time delay between beekeepers' action and scientific 106 recommendations represents a great opportunity to analyse how risk perception and 107 personal action of beekeepers (so-called stakeholders thereafter) evolved in a science-disconnected context. 108

109 We performed a national-wide stakeholder-based survey to record beekeepers 110 risk observation, perception and personal actions taken against the Asian hornet over 111 the French territory and prior to the first Asian hornet scientific risk assessment 112 publication (Requier et al., 2019a). We then estimated the risk of honey bee colony 113 mortality and the associated management action recommendations, based on a 114 combination of science-based citizen science programs recording the presence of 115 the risk factor (based on Rome and Villemant, 2019) and predicting colony mortality 116 (based on Requier et al., 2019a). This information was then compiled to: (i) evaluate 117 the causal links underlying drivers of stakeholder risk perception and action in a 118 science-disconnected context, and (*ii*) analyse whether risk observation, perception 119 and personal action of stakeholders are connected to post-assessed science 120 predictions and recommendations. Moreover, given that accumulated evidences 121 showed that trapping the Asian hornet does not represent a biodiversity-friendly 122 control method and leads to the catch of non-targeted insect species (Rome et al., 123 2011; Rojas-Nossa et al., 2018; Turchi and Derijard, 2018; Requier et al., 2019b), we

- finally discussed how biodiversity (i.e. the local entomofauna) can be affected by thepotential science-disconnected personal actions.
- 126

127 **2. Methods**

128

129 **2.1.** Long-term citizen science program of Asian hornet nest record

130 Since the introduction of the Asian hornet in France in 2004, a citizen science 131 program has been implemented at a national scale to record its invasion range. For that, a web-platform was designed by the French National Museum of Natural History 132 133 (Rome and Villemant, 2019), inviting people to register observations (i.e. nests and 134 individuals), associated with a picture to proof the identity of the Asian hornet and the 135 location of the observation. A taxonomist carefully approved all of the valid 136 observations and excluded those without supporting proofs or based on other 137 species (e.g. Vespa crabro, the native European hornet) (Rome and Villemant, 138 2017). The location of Asian hornet nests were then recorded in the French national 139 biodiversity database (INPN) over the 2004 to 2019 years (Rome and Villemant, 140 2019), however, we restricted the dataset to the 2004 to 2013 period for the aim of 141 this study, in order to match the other datasets (see below). This database provided 142 10,379 records of Asian hornet nests. We finally computed the sum of nests detected 143 per township to get a single data at the municipality area scale, which is the spatial 144 resolution of the study.

145

146 **2.2. Estimating the Asian hornet risk for managed honey bees**

147 We defined the Asian hornet risk as density dependant in both the predator

abundance (i.e. the number of nests recorded) and the prey abundance (i.e. the

149 number of honey bee colonies). Whilst the predator abundance was previously 150 recorded through the citizen science program (see above), we used the national-wide 151 dataset of honey bee livestock from the French ministry of agriculture (French 152 ministry of Agriculture, 2017) to calculate prey abundance. This database is based on 153 mandatory beekeeper declarations of the number of honey bee colonies per 154 township across the whole French territory. We obtained and therefore used the data 155 from the year 2013. Overall, the dataset ranged from 0 to 2,377 honey bee colonies 156 per township. We then computed a dilution factor of Asian hornet predation load according to the number of beehives per township. For that, we first converted the 157 158 number of Asian hornet nests per township as a number of predating hornets (the 159 risk factor *per se*). No information is yet available on the exact number of predating 160 hornets per nest, however, we know that a nest of Asian hornets reaches in average 161 3,000 individuals during the season of honey bee predation – from September to 162 November– (Rome et al., 2015). We chose a conservative value of 1% of the Asian 163 hornet nest population (i.e. 30 hornets) likely to predate simultaneously from a single 164 nest on the beehives stock of the township. We then divided the number of predating 165 hornets in a township by the number of managed colonies in the same area to 166 estimate the Asian hornet load per beehive. This simple estimate is based on the 167 hypothesis that hornets could reach any hive located in the same township from their 168 nest. The flight range of hornets varies basically from 2 to 3 km (Rome and Villemant, 169 2017; Kennedy et al., 2018) and could physiologically reach until 30 km (based on 170 laboratory tests, Sauvard et al., 2018), while the mean size of a French townships is 171 a 3.87 km side length square (varying from 3 to 75,780 hectares, with a mean area is 172 1,500 hectares).

173

174 **2.3.** Predicting the hornet-related risk of bee colony mortality

175 We used the mechanistic BEEHAVE model (Becher et al., 2014) to assess the risk 176 probability of honey bee colony mortality related to Asian hornet predation. We 177 performed 1,000 simulations to predict the daily colony growth of a bee colony 178 population from the beginning of January to the end of May of the following year. This 179 time period was chosen to include a complete winter season. The model was 180 calibrated following Becher et al.'s (2014) initial colony settings, for which four key 181 colony parameters were modified to increase stochasticity in the predictions and to improve representativeness of real field-condition variability (Requier et al., 2019a). 182 183 We followed Requier et al. (2019a) method to simulate hornet impacts in BEEHAVE, consisting in altering the two parameters "forager mortality" and "the maximal 184 185 foraging distance allowed for the colony" during the day 240 (August 28th) to the day 186 310 (November 6th). Along the 1,000 computed simulations, we gradually decreased 187 the maximal foraging distance allowed for the colony from the default value of 188 7,299 km per day down to 0 (no foraging activity), and we increased the forager 189 mortality rate from the default value of 1.00e-05 to 2.00e-05. Thus, each simulation 190 involved a level of hornet impact ranging from low (0 hornets predating) to high 191 impact (more than 20 hornets predating at the beehive entrance). Simulations were 192 further classified based on whether they predict colony collapse during winter. 193 Collapse events were defined following the two thresholds from Becher et al. (2014): 194 (*i*) simulations that predict a population size smaller than 4,000 adult bees during 195 winter, and (*ii*) simulations that predict a total depletion of honey stock during winter. 196 We then estimated the colony mortality probability related to Asian hornet predation 197 in each township. This last step consisted in inferring the corresponding modelled

mortality risk to the estimated number of Asian hornets predating on the beehives foreach township of the French territory.

200

201 **2.4. Estimating management recommendation**

202 We followed Requier et al.'s (2019a) recommendations suggesting the application of 203 control methods only in case of high hornet loads (i.e. more than 13.3 hornets 204 predating at the beehive entrance). Low hornet loads do not lead to foraging 205 paralysis (i.e. the most important factor of hornet-related colony mortality), while the 206 hornet-based risk only concerns previously weakened colonies. At high hornet loads, 207 the hornet-based risk of bee colony collapse results in a foraging paralysis of the bee 208 colony and subsequently an over-consumption of honey stocks reserved for overwintering (Requier et al., 2019a). Requier et al.'s (2019a) suggested that in such 209 210 conditions, controlling the hornet loads around the beehives could decrease the 211 number of hornets overflying and help bee colonies to conserve their foraging 212 activity. Thus, science-based recommendations of control were provided in the 213 townships where the estimated hornet loads exceeded 13.3. Otherwise 214 recommendations deter stakeholders from control action. 215

216 **2.5. Stakeholder-based survey of risk observation, perception and personal**

- 217 *action*
- 218 We performed a stakeholder-based survey in 2013 (i.e. six years before the
- 219 publication of the Asian hornet risk assessment including management
- recommendation, Requier et al., 2019a) to record the risk observation, perception
- and personal action of beekeepers against the Asian hornet over the French territory.

- 222 We first designed a standardized questionnaire to invite beekeepers to notify their
- activities, including 11 questions designed to record:
- (1) Site of the operation the names and zip code of the municipality where more
 than 50% of the colonies are placed.
- (2) Operation size the total number of honey bee colonies managed at the date
 of the survey.
- (3) *Education* The starting year of beekeeping activity was asked. Education was
- then estimated as the number of years of beekeeping practiced, which
- corresponds to the amount of time elapsed between the date of the surveyand the start of this activity.
- (4) *Risk factor observation* Observation of Asian hornet nests in the landscape
 surrounding the operation (i.e. in a range of 500 m around the apiary; two
 categories: yes or no)
- (5) *Risk observation* Observation of Asian hornet predating honey bees at the
 beehive entrance (two categories: yes or no)
- (6) *Total winter mortality* the total number of colonies dead during the winter
 season of 2009-2010, 2010-2011 and 2011-2012
- 239 (7) *Presumed hornet-related winter mortality* The number of colonies dead,
- 240 presumably due to the predatory behaviour of the Asian hornet during the
- 241 winters of season of 2009-2010, 2010-2011 and 2011-2012. The risk
- 242 perception was then estimated as the proportion of colonies lost due to the
- Asian hornet relatively to the total number of colonies lost, and then yearly
- averaged (**Figure 1**).
- (8) *Personal action* The setting up of control method of the Asian hornet using
 traps (two categories: yes or no).

- (9) Trap number If (8) is yes, the number of traps established in the whole
 operation.
- (10) *Trap design* If (8) is yes, the type of trap used. Then summarized in two
 categories: commercial or home-made trap.
- (11) *Bait composition* If (8) is yes, the type of bait used. Then summarized in two
 categories: commercial or home-made-bait.
- 253 The questionnaire was then distributed in June of 2013 over the French territory 254 through beekeeping social networks and national beekeeping journals. In particular, it 255 was published in four national journals of beekeeping and entomology and was also 256 available online across various web-platforms (e.g. the Asian hornet dedicated 257 website of Tours university and beekeeping websites from provinces of Gironde, 258 Dordogne and Indre et Loire). The beekeepers had until December of 2013 to send 259 their answers, date of end of the survey. After a post-validation procedure was set (to 260 exclude incomplete answers: 18 respondents), the responses of the 401 remaining 261 respondents were used to analyse the drivers of beekeepers' action against the 262 Asian hornet, and the relationship with science predictions. The responses came 263 from beekeepers who were distributed throughout the whole country (Figure 1). 264
- 265 **2.6. Testing the role of social, environmental and economic contexts**

Social, environmental and economic contexts can affect perception and actions of
stakeholders (Martín-López et al., 2012). Such factors can also affect scientific
predictions, given their role in biological invasion, in particular in the case of the
Asian hornet (e.g. Robinet et al., 2017). We used the CORINE (Coordination of
Information on the Environment) Land Cover 2012 dataset to record the
environmental context for each township (European Environment Agency, 2010).

This dataset is characterized by a high spatial resolution (i.e. 100 m²) and is 272 273 composed of 44 different land cover classes (hereafter habitat), each belonging to 274 one of the four following broad categories: artificial surfaces (urban, roads, industrial 275 units, etc.), agricultural areas (non-irrigated arable land, pastures, fruit trees, etc.), 276 natural areas (coniferous forest, bare rocks, etc.) and wetlands and marine areas 277 (estuaries, salines, etc.). Based on Fournier et al. (2017), we only retained the 278 categories identified as suitable habitat to the Asian hornet, and computed the 279 proportion of these habitats per township. We used the national-wide dataset of 280 human population from the French ministry of agriculture (French ministry of 281 Agriculture, 2017) to record the number of people living in each township as an 282 indicator of the social context. Indeed, the number of people living in an area could 283 positively affect the probability to detect a nest, but could also influence stakeholder's 284 personal actions through social interaction and group making decision (Traves et al., 285 2004; Behdarvand et al., 2014). Finally, we used the number of managed honey bee 286 colonies per township (see above, French ministry of Agriculture, 2017) as an 287 estimate of economic beekeeping level.

288

289 2.7. Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using the R Project for Statistical Computing
version 3.3.3 (R Development Core Team, 2018).

<u>Identifying causal links underlying drivers of stakeholder risk perception and</u>
 <u>personal action.</u> We used path analyses (Shipley, 2009) to disentangle direct and
 indirect effects along the chains from risk observation to control action. Path analysis
 helps to disentangle the most plausible direct and indirect links in multivariate
 datasets by assessing conditional independence among indirectly linked variables.

297 We applied the path analysis using the *PiecewiseSEM* R-package (Lefcheck, 2016). We first selected scientific predictions for all townships where we had collected 298 299 beekeeper answers from the survey (n = 401, Figure 1). We then built a basic path 300 model that reproduced the mechanistic structure underlying stakeholder's action, 301 linking risk factor observation (i.e. Asian hornet nest), risk observation (i.e. Asian 302 hornet predating at the beehive entrance), risk perception (i.e. the proportion of 303 colonies lost due to the Asian hornet) and personal action of stakeholders 304 (application of control methods).

305 Analysing the link between risk observation, perception and personal action of

306 stakeholders and post-assessed science predictions and recommendations. We built 307 a similar basic path model that reproduced the mechanistic structure underlying 308 science-predicted action recommendations, linking the risk factor (Asian hornet nest 309 inventory), risk identification (predicted number of hornets predating at beehive 310 entrance), risk estimation (predicted hornet-related colony mortality) and the science-311 based recommendations of management (recommendation of control). We then 312 analysed the relationship between stakeholder data and science prediction (e.g. risk 313 factor observation and risk factor inventory, respectively) to test for potential 314 correlations. Each causal link in the path model was depicted as a linear model (LM) 315 or a generalized linear model (GLM), using Im and glm function in the base R-316 package respectively, depending on the nature of the involved variables. We used 317 GLMs with a binomial error structure for risk factor observation, the risk observation, 318 the personal action of stakeholders, and the science-based recommendation. We 319 used LMs with Gaussian error structure for other variables. All variables were 320 standardized using Z scores, and the normal distribution of residuals of each model 321 was checked. We then identified the simplest path model structure that did not

deviate from the conditional independence expectations while including only significant links. The path analysis showed consistent causal links along and between the two chains from risk observation to control action, with indirect links that did not significantly deviate from conditional independency requirements (Fisher's *C* = 35.27, P = 0.823; **Figure 2**). Coefficients and detailed *P* values underlying the path analysis are presented in the online Supplementary Materials (**Appendix S1**).

328

329 Effect of stakeholder actions on biodiversity. We first evaluated the efficiency of trapping (i.e. the control action from stakeholders) on the targeted trapping of 330 331 Asian hornet. For that we fit a GLM with a binomial error structure to test the logistic 332 link between the number of traps established (log-transformed) and the collection of Asian hornets as a binary variable (yes = 1 or no = 0). We then evaluated the effect 333 334 of trapping on the collection of non-targeted entomofauna (e.g. European hornet, 335 other Vespidae, the Western honey bee Apis mellifera, Diptera, Lepidoptera, other 336 insects). Thus, we fit a second GLM with a binomial error structure to test the logistic 337 link between the number of traps established (log-transformed) and the collection of other insects than the Asian hornet as a binary variable (yes = 1 or no = 0). The 338 339 model residuals were extracted and inspected against fitted values (residuals vs. 340 fitted plot and normal Q-Q plot) to ensure the residual normality and the 341 homoscedasticity assumptions were fulfilled. 342

- **343 3. Results**
- 344
- 345 3.1. Drivers of stakeholder risk perception and personal action

346 Among the chain from risk observation to control action of stakeholders, the most 347 notable links were between risk factor observation, risk observation and personal 348 action (Figure 2). Following the causal links, the personal action of stakeholders (the 349 carried out of trapping) was positively affected by the risk observation (i.e. the 350 observation of Asian hornet predating at the beehive entrance), and the risk factor 351 observation (i.e. the observation of Asian hornet nests in the surrounding landscape 352 of the apiary). The risk perception (i.e. the predicted hornet-related colony mortality) 353 was positively affected by the risk observation but was not linked with the personal 354 action. Finally, the social context (i.e. the number of people in the township) had a 355 direct negative effect on the risk factor observation, and an indirect negative effect on 356 the risk observation (**Figure 2**).

357

358

359 stakeholders and post-assessed science predictions and recommendations 360 On the other hand, the causal links showed that the science-based recommendation 361 of control action was positively affected in cascade by the risk estimation (i.e. the predicted hornet-related colony mortality), the risk identification (i.e. the predicted 362 363 number of hornets predating at beehive entrance), and the risk factor inventory (i.e. 364 the inventory of Asian hornet nests). The environmental context (the suitable habitat 365 for the Asian hornet) had a direct positive effect on the risk factor inventory, and an 366 indirect positive effect on the risk observation (Figure 2). In turn, the economic 367 context (the number of managed beehives per township) had an indirect negative 368 effect on the risk estimation. Such effects underlying the chain from risk factor 369 inventory to control action recommendation confirm the integration of the science-370 based estimate processes in the path analysis.

3.2. Links between risk observation, perception and personal action of

371 Interestingly, the two chains (stakeholder and science) were linked between 372 risk factor observation and risk factor inventory, and between risk observation and 373 risk identification (Figure 2), suggesting that stakeholder's observation are in 374 accordance to science-based inventories and estimates (Appendix S1). However, 375 the risk perception and the personal action of the stakeholders were disconnected to 376 time-delayed science prediction (**Figure 1**), suggesting that beekeepers had 377 inaccurate perceptions of the Asian hornet risk and carried out trapping action when 378 it was not needed, and vice versa (Appendix S1).

379

380 **3.3. Effect of stakeholder actions on biodiversity**

381 A total of 63.3% of the respondents (n = 274) carried out trapping of the Asian 382 hornets. Based on stakeholder responses, the frequency of occurrence of trapped 383 Asian hornets varied from 80% to 100% depending on the trap design and bait 384 composition (Figure 3). The most efficient combination was the home-made trap 385 (based on plastic bottle) with commercial bait (Vétopharma® bait). However, this 386 combination was also highly performing to trap the native European hornet Vespa 387 crabro (i.e. with the same catch efficiency than that of the Asian hornet (Figure 3)). 388 Unfortunately, all combinations of trap designs and bait compositions led to 389 detrimental effects on the non-targeted entomofauna, including honey bees in the 390 cases of home-made traps filled with home-made bait (e.g. with wine, sugar, beer) 391 and commercial trap (Vetopharma® bait) filled with commercial bait (Figure 3). In 392 average, the beekeepers used 7.4 traps on their operation, ranging from 1 to 180 393 traps. Although the establishment of a single trap led to less than 50% chances to 394 catch the targeted Asian hornet, setting up more traps led to a strong increase of this 395 probability (n = 274, Z = 5.530, P < 0.001; **Figure 4a**). However, also based on

stakeholder response, the number of traps did not affect the probability to catch other non-targeted insect species (n = 274, Z = 0.478, P = 0.632; **Figure 4b**), with a significant high probability (> 90%) to trap non-targeted entomofauna (model intercept: Z = 5.126, P < 0.001, **Figure 4b**).

400

401 **4. Discussion**

402 Stakeholders manage the environment in human-dominated landscapes, ideally 403 following management plans that were previously established by science-based 404 environmental policies. Here, we showed that beekeepers had to personally act 405 following their own observations and risk perception (the risk of bee predation by the 406 Asian hornet) instead of following scientific recommendations that were time delayed. 407 Their personal actions were related to their observations of the risk, but not related to 408 their risk perception (i.e. the presumed hornet-related colony mortality). The result 409 suggests that they practiced control action as preventive measures even in contexts 410 where they did not perceive any direct risk for their production. While the risk 411 observations were in accordance with science-based estimates, their risk perception 412 and personal actions were disconnected to time-delayed science predictions and 413 recommendations. These results suggest that beekeepers percept a risk when there 414 is none and vice versa, and act when it is not necessary in contexts of science 415 disconnection (e.g. trapping action in absence of hornet nests in the surrounding 416 landscape). Unfortunately, these science-disconnected actions also lead to important 417 impacts on local biodiversity. Trapping actions lead to the catch of non-targeted local 418 entomofauna, already threaten by many factors and critically declining (Sánchez-419 Bayo and Wyckhuys, 2019).

420 This work highlights that stakeholders' risk perception and personal action did 421 not follow a biodiversity-friendly approach in a science-disconnected context. The 422 general recommendations made before any formal risk assessment study were not 423 sufficient to inform or to raise stakeholders' awareness concerning the detrimental 424 effects on biodiversity to trap Asian hornets. A potential explanation could be that 425 stakeholders applied control methods for the purposes of risk prevention. Indeed, the 426 Asian hornet was rapidly predicted as likely to expand all over the French territory as 427 well as to eventually spread further in Western Europe (Villemant et al., 2011). Yearly records of the expansion range of the Asian hornet have confirmed the rapid spread 428 429 of this invasive species over the French territory (Rome and Villemant, 2019) and 430 further in the neighbouring European countries (Rome and Villemant, 2019). This 431 could affect stakeholder's risk perception towards the requirement of control actions 432 even if the risk factor is not yet present in an area, and even with methods that may 433 be detrimental for biodiversity. Indeed, the common use of simple passive traps with 434 homemade syrup or poisoned baits are known to fail to sustainably reduce the 435 populations of Asian hornets (Beggs et al., 2011; Turchi and Derijard, 2018) and 436 represent a low-efficiency method to control Asian hornet-related impacts on honey bees (Monceau et al., 2012; Requier et al., 2019a,b). Although the environmental 437 438 impacts of common trapping on the numerous species of the local entomofauna was 439 established before the risk assessment study (e.g. Dauphin and Thomas, 2009; 440 Beggs et al., 2011; Rome et al., 2011), more biodiversity-friendly methods are now 441 tested and/or available for beekeepers. For instance, more species-specific trapping 442 systems based on sex pheromone attraction are currently in process of development 443 and could allow the specific catch of the Asian hornet without trapping other insects (Couto et al., 2014; Cheng et al., 2017; Gévar et al., 2017; Wen et al., 2017; Turchi 444

445 and Derijard 2018). Moreover, the use of beehive muzzle –a mesh placed around the 446 beehive's flight board allows bee workers to continue foraging even in the presence 447 of hovering hornets- can reduce the foraging paralysis and thus positively affects the 448 survival of hornet-stressed colonies (Requier et al., 2019b). Given the multiple 449 evidences of negative effects in the use of common trapping methods on the local 450 entomofauna (Rome et al., 2011: Rojas-Nossa et al., 2018: Turchi and Derijard, 451 2018; Requier et al., 2019b) that the present study confirms, we recommend that 452 beekeepers prioritize the use of biodiversity-friendly methods such as speciesspecific trapping systems and beehive muzzles for the control of the Asian hornet. 453 454 Reconnecting science and action is one of the 21st century priorities (Nisbet 455 and Scheufele, 2009; Groffman et al., 2010; Shackleton et al., 2019a). Generally, 456 biological invasions is a very complex topic when it comes to risk communication, as 457 it is marked with strong duality of opinions among the need of control actions -to 458 reduce the threat on the native biodiversity due to an invasive species- and the 459 recommendation of no action due to direct risk of impact of control methods on native 460 biodiversity (Courchamp et al., 2017). The results of this study highlight the need to improve the quality and quantity of risk communications between science and action 461 462 in the early-stages of management plans, in order to improve the sustainably of 463 stakeholders' practices. Over the last years, there has been an increase in the 464 practice of citizen science programs and other community-based projects in 465 conservation biology (Bryce et al., 2011; Follett and Strezov, 2015; Requier et al., in 466 press). These allow, in socio-ecological systems, to connect researchers, citizens 467 and stakeholders around common environmental issues. For instance, a recent 468 citizen science study in the United States has shown broad public interest in 469 pollinator conservation issues (Wilson et al., 2017). This study showed that

470 conservation efforts require significant public support and that any program aimed at 471 stopping or mitigating the decline of pollinators should include awareness and 472 education measures. Citizen science programs and other community-based projects 473 could also facilitate human interactions and education concerning other topic of 474 biodiversity conservation and environmental management, such as risk 475 communication on invasive species issues. Overall, scientists have to communicate 476 with stakeholders and vice versa, sharing explicit information on the risk, the 477 hypothesis made, the methodological framework used, and the uncertainty that comes with the risk predictions, in order to ensure co-constructed, coherent and 478 479 acceptable management recommendations (Schmolke et al., 2010; Voinov and 480 Bousquet, 2010; Shackleton et al., 2019a).

481 Our results help to fill a knowledge gap regarding how personal actions of 482 stakeholders evolve in a science-disconnected context. In particular, our results 483 provide evidence that mutual communication between stakeholders and researchers 484 though, before, during and after the risk assessment process, is one component that 485 needs to be reinforced to ensure its usefulness for biological invasion management and policies (Theobald et al., 2000; Jönsson et al., 2015; Shackleton et al., 2019a). 486 487 Moreover, involving stakeholders in invasions management programs is central to 488 not only ensure their success, but also enhance their acceptability and avoid 489 situations where such programs result from a single actor involved (Liu et al., 2011; 490 Verbrugge et al., 2013). This requires interacting works between stakeholders and 491 researchers in the drafting, conduction and final evaluation of co-managed programs 492 (Crowley et al., 2017; Novoa et al., 2018; Shackleton et al., 2019a). For instance, 493 web-based forums and round-table discussions could promote such a mutual 494 communication. New ways of communication are also needed, to (1) establish a twoways link between researchers and all stakeholders involved in the invasions
management process and (2) to address this disjunction between science and action,
for which citizen science programs and other community-based projects can help.
Beyond risk communication, considering the knowledge, the experience and the
perception that people and stakeholders have of a situation, a risk, or a system, in
the scientific process of risk assessment can ensure the usefulness and acceptability
of biological invasion management.

502

503 **Research data**

504 The data presented in this manuscript are available through the Dryad Digital

505 Repository (doi: xx.xxx/dryad.xxxx [to be complete at final acceptance]).

506

507 **References**

508 Becher MA, Grimm V, Thorbek P, Horn J, Kennedy PJ, Osborne JL. 2014.

509 BEEHAVE: a systems model of honeybee colony dynamics and foraging to

510 explore multifactorial causes of colony failure. Journal of Applied Ecology 51:

511 470–482.

512 Beggs JR, Brockerhoff EG, Corley JC, Kenis M, Masciocchi M, Muller F, Rome Q,

513 Villemant C. 2011. Ecological effects and management of invasive alien

514 Vespidae. BioControl 56: 505–526.

515 Behdarvand N, Kaboli M, Ahmadi M, Nourani E, Salman Mahini A, Asadi Aghbolaghi

516 M. 2014. Spatial risk model and mitigation implications for wolf–human conflict in

a highly modified agroecosystem in western Iran. Biological Conservation 177:

518 156–164.

519 Bellard C, Rysman JF, Leroy B, Claud C, Mace GM. 2017. A global picture of

- 520 biological invasion threat on islands. Nature Ecology & Evolution 1: 1862–1869.
- 521 Bradshaw CJA, Leroy B, Bellard C, Roiz D, Albert C, Fournier A, Barbet-Massin M,
- 522 Salles JM, Simard F, Courchamp F. 2016. Massive yet grossly underestimated
 523 global costs of invasive insects Nature Communications 7: 12986.
- 524 Bryce R, Oliver MK, Davies L, Gray H, Urquhart J, Lambin X. 2011. Turning back the
- 525 tide of American mink invasion at an unprecedented scale through community
- 526 participation and adaptive management. Biological Conservation 144: 575–583.
- 527 Campbell C, Yang S, Albert R, Shea K. 2015. Plant-pollinator community network
- response to species invasion depends on both invader and community
- 529 characteristics. Oikos 124: 406–413.
- 530 Cheng Y, Wen P, Dong S, Tan K, Nieh JC. 2017. Poison and alarm: The Asian
- 531 hornet *Vespa velutina* uses sting venom volatiles as an alarm pheromone.
- Journal of Experimental Biology 220: 645–651.
- 533 Cole E, Keller RP, Garbach K. 2019. Risk of invasive species spread by recreational
- 534 boaters remains high despite widespread adoption of conservation behaviors.
- Journal of Environmental Management 229: 112–119.
- 536 Courchamp F, Fournier A, Bellard C, Bertelsmeier C, Bonnaud E, Jeschke JM,
- 537 Russell JC. 2017. Invasion Biology: Specific Problems and Possible Solutions.
- 538 Trends in Ecology & Evolution 32: 13–22.
- 539 Couto A, Monceau K, Bonnard O, Thiéry D, Sandoz JC. 2014. Olfactory Attraction of
- the Hornet *Vespa velutina* to Honeybee Colony Odors and Pheromones. PLoS
 ONE 9: e115943.
- 542 Crowley SL, Hinchliffe S, McDonald RA. 2017. Invasive species management will
- 543 benefit from social impact assessment. Journal of Applied Ecology 54: 351–357.
- 544 Dauphin P, Thomas H. 2009. Quelques données sur le contenu des « pièges à

- 545 frelons asiatiques » posés à bordeaux (gironde) en 2009. Bulletin de la Société
 546 Linnéenne de Bordeaux 144: 287–297.
- 547 Dickie IA, Bennett BM, Burrows LE, Nuñez MA, Peltzer DA, Porté A, Richardson DM,
- 548 Rejmánek M, Rundel PW, van Wilgen BW. 2014. Conflicting values: Ecosystem
- 549 services and invasive tree management. Biological Invasions 16: 705–719.
- 550 Dewitt B, Fischhoff B, Davis A, Broomell SB. 2015. Environmental risk perception
- from visual cues: The psychophysics of tornado risk perception. Environmental
 Research Letters 10: 124009.
- 553 European Environment Agency. 2010. Corine Land Cover 2006 raster data. Available
- at http://www.Eea.Europa.Eu/Data-and-Maps/Data/Corine-Land-Cover-2006-
- 555 Raster Last accessed on February 2019.
- 556 Estévez RA, Anderson CB, Pizarro JC, Burgman MA. 2015. Clarifying values, risk
- 557 perceptions, and attitudes to resolve or avoid social conflicts in invasive species
- 558 management. Conservation Biology 29: 19–30.
- 559 Follett R, Strezov V. 2015. An Analysis of Citizen Science Based Research: Usage
- and Publication Patterns. PLoS ONE 10: e0143687.
- 561 Fournier A, Barbet-Massin M, Rome Q, Courchamp F. 2017. Predicting species
- 562 distribution combining multi-scale drivers. Global Ecology and Conservation 12:
 563 215–226.
- 564 French ministry of Agriculture. 2013. Note de service DGAL/SDSPA/N2013-8082
- relatif aux mesures de surveillance, de prévention et de luttes permettant de
- 566 limiter l'impact du frelon asiatique *Vespa velutina nigrithorax* sur les colonies
- 567 d'abeilles domestiques sur le territoire national. Available at
- 568 https://agriculture.gouv.fr/file/dgaln20138082-1
- 569 French ministry of Agriculture. 2017. Beehive declarative form and procedure.

- 570 Available at http://mesdemarches.agriculture.gouv.fr/demarches/exploitation-
- 571 agricole/obtenir-un-droit-une-autorisation/article/declarer-la-detention-et-l-294

572 Last accessed on February 2019.

- 573 Genovesi P, Shine C. 2004. European strategy on invasive alien species: Convention
- 574 on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Habitats (Bern Convention).
- 575 Council of Europe. Available at https://www.cbd.int/doc/external/cop-09/bern-01-
- 576 en.pdf Last accessed on February 2019.
- 577 Gévar J, Bagnères AG, Christidès JP, Darrouzet E. 2017. Chemical heterogeneity in
- 578 inbred European population of the invasive hornet *Vespa velutina nigrithorax*.
- Journal of Chemical Ecology 43: 763–777.
- 580 Goulson D, Nicholls E, Botías C, Rotheray EL. 2015. Bee declines driven by
- 581 combined stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. Science 347:582 1255957.
- 583 Groffman PM, Stylinski C, Nisbet MC, Duarte CM, Jordan R, Burgin A, Previtali MA,
- 584 Coloso J. 2010. Restarting the conversation: Challenges at the interface between
- ecology and society. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 8: 284–291.
- 586 Heger T, Pahl AT, Botta-Dukát Z, Gherardi F, Hoppe C, Hoste I, Jax K, Lindström L,
- 587 Boets P, Haider S, et al. 2013. Conceptual Frameworks and Methods for
 588 Advancing Invasion Ecology. Ambio 42: 527–540.
- Henry H, Becher MA, Osborne JL, Kennedy PJ, Aupinel P, Bretagnolle V, Brun F,
- 590 Grimm V, Horn J, Requier F. 2017. Predictive systems models can help elucidate
- 591 bee declines driven by multiple combined stressors. Apidologie 48: 328–339.
- Jönsson AM, Anderbrant O, Holmér J, Johansson J, Schurgers G, Svensson GP,
- 593 Smith HG. 2015. Enhanced science–stakeholder communication to improve
- 594 ecosystem model performances for climate change impact assessments. Ambio

595 **44: 249–255**.

- Kennedy PJ, Ford SM, Poidatz J, Thiéry D, Osborne JL. 2018. Searching for nests of
 the invasive Asian hornet (*Vespa velutina*) using radio-telemetry.
- 598 Communications Biology 1: 1-8.
- 599 Lamarque P, Tappeiner U, Turner C, Steinbacher M, Bardgett RD, Szukics U,
- 600 Schermer M, Lavorel S. 2011. Stakeholder perceptions of grassland ecosystem
- 601 services in relation to knowledge on soil fertility and biodiversity. Regional
- 602 Environmental Change 11: 791–804.
- Lefcheck JS. 2016. PiecewiseSEM Piecewise structural equation modelling in for
- 604 ecology, evolution, and systematics. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 7: 573–

605 579

- Leza M, Herrera C, Marques A, Roca P, Sastre-Serra J, Pons DG. 2019. The impact
- of the invasive species *Vespa velutina* on honeybees: A new approach based on
- 608 oxidative stress. Science of the Total Environment
- 609 DOI:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.511
- Liu J, Dietz T, Carpenter SR, Alberti M, Folke C, Moran E, Pell AN, Deadman P,
- 611 Kratz T, Lubchenco J, et al. 2007. Complexity of Coupled Human and Natural
- 612 Systems. Science 317: 1513.
- Liu S, Sheppard A, Kriticos D, Cook D. 2011. Incorporating uncertainty and social
- values in managing invasive alien species: A deliberative multi-criteria evaluation
- approach. Biological Invasions 13: 2323–2337.
- 616 Martín-López B, Iniesta-Arandia I, García-Llorente M, Palomo I, Casado-Arzuaga I,
- 617 García Del Amo D, Gómez-Baggethun E, Oteros-Rozas E, Palacios-Agundez I,
- 618 Willaarts B, et al. 2012. Uncovering Ecosystem Service Bundles through Social
- 619 Preferences. PLoS One 7: e38970.

620 Matzek V, Pujalet M, Cresci S. 2015. What Managers Want From Invasive Species

621 Research Versus What They Get. Conservation Letters 8: 33–40.

- 622 Monceau K, Bonnard O, Thiéry D. 2012. Chasing the queens of the alien predator of
- 623 honeybees: A water drop in the invasiveness ocean. Open Journal of Ecology 2:
- 624 **183–191**.
- Monceau K, Arca M, Leprêtre L, Mougel F, Bonnard O, Silvain JF, Maher N, Arnold
- 626 G, Thiéry D. 2013. Native Prey and Invasive Predator Patterns of Foraging
- 627 Activity: The Case of the Yellow-Legged Hornet Predation at European
- 628 Honeybee Hives. PLoS ONE 8: e66492.
- 629 Neumann P, Carreck NL. 2010. Honey bee colony losses. Journal of Apicultural
- 630 Research 49: 1–6.
- Nisbet MC, Scheufele DA. 2009. What's next for science communication? promising
 directions and lingering distractions. American Journal of Botany 96: 1767–1778.
- 633 Novoa A, Shackleton R, Canavan S, Novoa A, Shackleton R, Canavan S, Cybèle C,
- 634 Davies SJ, Dehnen-Schmutz K, Fried J, Gaertner M, Geerts S, Griffiths CL, et al.
- 635 2018. A framework for engaging stakeholders on the management of alien

636 species. Journal of Environmental Management 205: 286–297.

- 637 Potts SG, Biesmeijer JC, Kremen C, Neumann P, Schweiger O, Kunin WE. 2010.
- 638 Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. Trends in Ecology &
- 639 Evolution 25: 345–353.
- R Development Core Team. 2018. R: a language and environment for statistical
 computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- 642 Reed MS, Graves A, Dandy N, Posthumus H, Hubacek K, Morris J, Prell C, Quinn
- 643 CH, Stringer LC (2009) Who's in and why? A typology of stakeholder analysis
- 644 methods for natural resource management. Journal of Environmental

- 645 Management 90: 1933–1949.
- 646 Requier F, Antúnez K, Morales CL, Aldea Sánchez P, Castilhos D, Garrido M,
- Giacobino A, Reynaldi FJ, Rosso Londoño JM, Santos E, Garibaldi LA. 2018.
- Trends in beekeeping and honey bee colony losses in Latin America. Journal of
- 649 Apicultural Research 57: 657–662.
- 650 Requier F, Rome Q, Chiron G, Decante D, Marion S, Ménard M, Muller F, Villemant
- 651 C, Henry M. 2019a. Predation of the invasive Asian hornet-induced risk on
- honeybee colony collapse affects foraging activity and survival probability of
- honey bees in Western Europe. Journal of Pest Science 92: 567–578.
- Requier F, Rome Q, Villemant C, Henry M. 2019b. A biodiversity-friendly method to
- 655 mitigate the invasive Asian hornet's impact on European honey bees. Journal of
- 656 Pest Science DOI:10.1007/s10340-019-01159-9
- 657 Requier F, Andersson GKS, Oddi F, Garibaldi LA. in press. Citizen science in
- developing countries: how to improve volunteer participation. Frontiers in Ecologyand the Environment
- Robinet C, Suppo C, Darrouzet E. 2017. Rapid spread of the invasive yellow-legged
- hornet in France: the role of human-mediated dispersal and the effects of control
- measures. Journal of Applied Ecology 54: 205–215.
- Robinet C, Darrouzet E, Suppo C. 2018. Spread modelling: a suitable tool to explore
- the role of human-mediated dispersal in the range expansion of the yellow-
- 665 legged hornet in Europe. International Journal of Pest Management
- 666 doi:10.1080/09670874.2018.1484529.
- 667 Rojas-Nossa SV, Novoa N, Serrano A, Calvino-Cancela M. 2018. Performance of
- baited traps used as control tools for the invasive hornet *Vespa velutina* and their
- impact on non-target insects. Apidologie 49: 872–885.

670 Rome Q, Perrard A, Muller F, Villemant C. 2011. Monitoring and control modalities of 671 a honeybee predator, the yellow-legged hornet Vespa velutina nigrithorax 672 (Hymenoptera: Vespidae). Aliens: The Invasive Species Bulletin, 31: 7–15. 673 Rome Q, Muller FJ, Touret-Alby A, Darrouzet E, Perrard A, Villemant C. 2015. Caste 674 differentiation and seasonal changes in *Vespa velutina* (Hym.: Vespidae) 675 colonies in its introduced range. Journal of Applied Entomology 139: 771–782. 676 Rome Q, Villemant C. 2017. Surveillance du frelon asiatique, Vespa velutina 677 nigrithorax (Hymenoptera : Vespidae). Bulletin épidémiologique, santé animale et 678 alimentation, 81: 1–4. 679 Rome Q, Villemant C. 2019. Le Frelon asiatique Vespa velutina. In: INPN - MNHN. 680 Available at http://frelonasiatique.mnhn.fr/home. Last accessed on February 681 2019. 682 Sánchez-Bayo F, Wyckhuys KAG. 2019. Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers. Biological Conservation 232: 8-27. 683 684 Sauvard D, Imbault V, Darrouzet E. 2018. Flight capacities of yellow-legged hornet 685 (Vespa velutina nigrithorax, Hymenoptera: Vespidae) workers from an invasive 686 population in Europe. PloS One 13: e0198597. 687 Schmolke A, Thorbek P, DeAngelis DL, Grimm V. 2010. Ecological models 688 supporting environmental decision making: A strategy for the future. Trends in 689 Ecology and Evolution 25: 479–486. 690 Shackleton RT, Adriaens T, Brundu G, Dehnen-Schmutz K, Estévez RA, Fried J, 691 Larson BMH, Liu S, Marchante E, Marchante H, Moshobane MC, Novoa A, Reed 692 M, Richardson DM. 2019a. Stakeholder engagement in the study and 693 management of invasive alien species. Journal of Environmental Management 229:88-101. 694

- 695 Shackleton RT, Richardson DM, Shackleton CM, Bennett B, Crowley SL, Dehnen-
- 696 Schmutz K, Estévez RA, Fischer A, Kueffer C, Kull CA, Marchante E, Novoa A,
- 697 Potgieter LJ, Vaas J, Vaz AS, Larson BMH. 2019b. Explaining people's
- 698 perceptions of invasive alien species: A conceptual framework. Journal of
- 699 Environmental Management 229: 10–26.
- 700 Shipley B. 2009. Confirmatory path analysis in a generalized multilevel context.
- 701 Ecology 90: 363–368.
- Tassin J, Kull CA. 2015. Facing the broader dimensions of biological invasions. Land
 use policy 42: 165–169.
- Theobald DM, Hobbs NT, Bearly T, Zack JA, Shenk T, Riebsame WE. 2000.
- 705 Incorporating biological information in local land-use decision making for
- conservation planning. Landscape Ecology 15: 35–45.
- 707 Traves A, Naughton-Treves L, Harper EK, Mladenoff DJ, Rose RA, Sickley TA,
- 708 Wydeven AP. 2004. Predicting Human-Carnivore Conflict: a Spatial Model
- 709 Derived from 25 Years of Data on Wolf Predation on Livestock. Conservation
- 710 Biology 18: 114–125.
- 711 Turchi L, Derijard B. 2018. Options for the biological and physical control of Vespa
- *velutina nigrithorax* (Hym.: Vespidae) in Europe: A review. Journal of Applied
 Entomology 142: 553–562.
- Verbrugge LNH, Van Den Born RJG, Lenders HJR. 2013. Exploring public
- perception of non-native species from a visions of nature perspective.
- Environmental Management 52: 1562–1573.
- Villemant C, Barbet-Massin M, Perrard A, Muller F, Gargominy O, Jiguet F, Rome Q.
- 2011. Predicting the invasion risk by the alien bee-hawking Yellow-legged hornet
- 719 Vespa velutina nigrithorax across Europe and other continents with niche

- models. Biological Conservation 144: 2142–2150.
- Voinov A, Bousquet F. 2010. Modelling with stakeholders. Environmental Modelling &
 Software 25: 1268–1281.
- Wen P, Cheng YN, Dong SH, Wang ZW, Tan K, Nieh JC. 2017. The sex pheromone
- of a globally invasive honey bee predator, the Asian eusocial hornet, *Vespa velutina*. Scientific Reports 7: 12956.
- Wilson JS, Forister ML, Messinger Carril O. 2017. Interest exceeds understanding in
 public support of bee conservation. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 15:
 460–466.
- 729

730 Figure Captions

- Figure 1. Spatial distribution of two hornet-related risk evaluations: (1) Sciencebased predicted risk, obtained at the town scale, corresponding to the predicted
- number of hornets that can predate on beehives (colour gradient). This estimation
- was obtained based on online citizen declarations checked by a specialist. (2)
- 735 Stakeholder-based perceived risk (black open circles). This estimation was obtained
- by inviting beekeepers (i.e. stakeholders) to declare on a standardized questionnaire
- their observation, perception and management of the Asian hornet. See methods for
- more details on the estimates.
- 739

Figure 2. Path analysis revealing the causal links identified between the observation, perception and management of the Asian hornet risk by beekeepers, and their relationship with science recommendation. Only significant links are shown. See online Supplementary Materials (**Appendix S1**) for detailed statistical properties of the path model and links. Total explained variance (R^2) is indicated in the box for each response variable. The thickness of an arrow represents the magnitude of the
(standardized) effect and the colour shows the correlation sign (positive or negative).

Figure 3. Frequency of occurrence of the trapped insects in two different trap
designs (home-made trap on the left and commercial trap on the right) and two
different bait compositions (home-made bait and commercial bait). The probability to
catch the targeted insect *Vespa velutina* is showed in red while the probability to
catch different non-targeted groups of entomofauna (e.g. European hornet, other
Vespidae, the Western honey bee *Apis mellifera*, Diptera, Lepidoptera, other insects)
is presented within the grey gradient.

755

Figure 4. Effect of the number of established traps on the probability to catch (**a**) the targeted Asian hornet or (**b**) other non-targeted insects. The number of established traps increased the probability to catch the targeted Asian hornet, but did not affect the high probability to catch other non-targeted insects. The dotted line shows nonsignificant relationship. Thick line shows the model predictions with shaded areas (presented if the model is significant) indicating the 95% confidence interval.











Log10 Number of traps

Log10 Number of traps